Goeke Supp. Declaration Exhibit 42

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
3	(Southern Division)
4	00
5	DAN FRANKENSTEIN, INDIVIDUALLY,
	AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS
6	SIMILARLY SITUATED, AND ON BEHALF
	OF THE HMSHOST 401(k) RETIREMENT
7	SAVINGS PLAN AND TRUST,
8	Plaintiff(s),
9	v. No. 8:20-cv-01100-PJM
10	HOST INTERNATIONAL, INC.; HMSHOST
	401(k) RETIREMENT SAVINGS PLAN AND
11	TRUST RETIREMENT COMMITTEE; COLEMAN
	LAUTERBACH; AND DOES NO. 1-10, WHOSE
12	NAMES ARE CURRENTLY UNKNOWN,
13	Defendant(s).
	/
14	
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
16	COLEMAN LAUTERBACH
17	TUESDAY, JUNE 14, 2022
18	
19	
20	Reported by:
	KAREN A. URBANO, CSR License No. 6698
21	Registered Professional Reporter
	Job No: 5261871
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 66
1	changing are there any considerations to change the
2	Tips Policy today if you know?
3	A. I don't know.
4	Q. All right. And, in connection with the
5	review of Mr. Frankenstein's appeal, do you know 01:54
6	whether the Tips Policy was considered?
7	A. The in as much as the policy talks about
8	tips must be paid out at the end of every shift, yes,
9	that's a company policy that the committee had to
10	consider in considering this case or this the 01:54
11	appeal. Sorry.
12	Q. Okay. We have the plan document, which is
13	Exhibit 3 will be Exhibit 3.
14	(Exhibit 3 was marked.)
15	MS. ROSS: I'm going to object to the 01:55
16	characterization of this as the plan document.
17	Q. BY MR. KRAVITZ: Okay. I think you're
18	welcome to do that.
19	And you indicated earlier that you had read
20	the document. Is this the plan document that you 01:55
21	read, and I can give you control over the
22	A. Just to clarify, I will tell you that I've
23	read most of this document. I've not sat down and
24	read everything from beginning to end of this
25	document. 01:55

	Page 67	
1	As there's something in the plan that I	
2	need to look at, I'll search for that for that	
3	section and then review that section.	
4	Q. Okay.	
5	A. Does that clarify what does that clarify 01:56	
6	my statements that I've made?	
7	Q. No, it does. Thank you. I appreciate that.	
8	Stop the share on that one. I think you	
9	already testified earlier then, the context of	
10	reviewing the appeal of Mr. Frankenstein, the plan 01:56	
11	itself was not reviewed; is that right?	
12	A. When we talked you talked about the	
13	appeal, you're talking	
14	Q. Correct, yeah. I'll put it back up. Yeah.	
15	In the context of the appeal, do you remember 01:56	
16	reviewing the plan document?	
17	A. I reviewed the plan document, the particular	
18	areas of concern, during the claim process. I	
19	didn't I didn't need to review it again during the	
20	appeal process. 01:57	,
21	Q. And when you say "the claim process," when	
22	Mr. Frankenstein first submitted his claim; is that	
23	what you're referring to?	
24	A. Correct.	
25	Q. And what part of the plan did you review at 01:57	,

Page 68 that time? 1 I -- I can't rattle off the sections here. I 3 guess as I'm looking at it, I probably could. But the claim was made about his desire to have -- about money 5 taking -- contributing to the 401(k) plan that would 01:57 6 come from his pay. 7 And the conversation or the way that I looked at this is whether or not there was sufficient 8 available compensation to make contributions or not 9 01:58 into the plan. 10 11 And so, as we're looking at this, I see right 12 here it's section five. I'm sorry. I don't remember the exact sections where everything is. But that's 13 where I had to review whether or not there was any 14 kind of merit to the claim. 15 01:58 16 My expectation, as I discussed with Regina 17 and my team at the time, was the -- if the tips were 18 part of effectively available compensation, it would -- there would be no problem here, but they're 19 01:58 20 not. And, so reviewing this section of the plan 21 22 was necessary to come to a conclusion about -- about 23 approving or denying the initial claim. 24 And did you review Section 5.02, Compensation Taken into Account in Determining Contributions. 25 Do 01:59

Page	69
you remember reviewing that section?	
A. I'm sure it was these several sections here	
in Article 5.	
(Off the record discussion.)	
MR. KRAVITZ: Bear with me. All right.	01:59
Let's mark this as Exhibit Number 4.	
(Exhibit 4 was marked.)	
Q. BY MR. KRAVITZ: Which is an email from Joy	
Napier-Joyce to Carol Russell, Regina Dowdy, dated	
May 31, 2019, attaching a draft response to	02:01
Mr. Frankenstein's claim to facilitate a discussion.	
And then after that, there's a draft response	
dated May 30, 2019, and I will try to allow you	
control of this if my laptop will let me.	
Okay. So you have control over this. Should	02:01
be able to scroll through it.	
A. Okay.	
Q. And my first question is whether you have	
seen this email and draft letter before?	
A. Yes.	02:01
Q. Did you receive a copy of this email and	
draft letter on or about May 31, 2019, or before?	
MS. TROY: I apologize. This is Meg. What's	
up on my screen is not the exhibit we were just	
talking about. I think it's switched.	02:02
	A. I'm sure it was these several sections here in Article 5.  (Off the record discussion.)  MR. KRAVITZ: Bear with me. All right.  Let's mark this as Exhibit Number 4.  (Exhibit 4 was marked.)  Q. BY MR. KRAVITZ: Which is an email from Joy Napier-Joyce to Carol Russell, Regina Dowdy, dated May 31, 2019, attaching a draft response to Mr. Frankenstein's claim to facilitate a discussion.  And then after that, there's a draft response dated May 30, 2019, and I will try to allow you control of this if my laptop will let me.  Okay. So you have control over this. Should be able to scroll through it.  A. Okay.  Q. And my first question is whether you have seen this email and draft letter before?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you receive a copy of this email and draft letter on or about May 31, 2019, or before?  MS. TROY: I apologize. This is Meg. What's up on my screen is not the exhibit we were just

Page 145

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

1

I certify that the foregoing proceedings in the within-entitled cause were reported at the time and place therein named; that said proceedings were reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and were thereafter transcribed into typewriting;

That before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript {X}was, { }was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said cause of action, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said cause of action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 28th day of June, 2022.

Karen Allebaro

\_\_\_\_\_

KAREN A. URBANO

California CSR No. 6698

23

24

25

	Page 146
1	Nancy Ross, Esquire
2	nross@mayerbrown.com>
3	June 24, 2022
4	RE: Frankenstein, Dan v. Host International Inc., Et Al.
5	6/14/2022, Coleman Lauterbach (#5261871)
6	The above-referenced transcript is available for
7	review.
8	Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should
9	read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are
10	any changes, the witness should note those with the
11	reason, on the attached Errata Sheet.
12	The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of
13	Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney.
14	Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at
15	cs-midatlantic@veritext.com
16	
17	Return completed errata within 30 days from
18	receipt of testimony.
19	If the witness fails to do so within the time
20	allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed.
21	
22	Yours,
23	Veritext Legal Solutions
24	
25	

		Pag	e 14
Frankens	tein, Dan	v. Host International Inc.,	Εt
Coleman 1	Lauterbac	h (#5261871)	
		ERRATA SHEET	
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
REASON			
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
REASON			
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
REASON			
PAGE	_ LINE	CHANGE	
REASON			
		CHANGE	
REASON			
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	
REASON			
Coleman 1	Lauterbac	h Date	:

Page 148				
Frankenstein, Dan v. Host International Inc., Et Al.				
Coleman Lauterbach (#5261871)				
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT				
I, Coleman Lauterbach, do hereby declare that I				
have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any				
corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as				
noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is				
a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony				
given by me.				
Coleman Lauterbach Date				
*If notary is required				
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS				
, DAY OF, 20				
NOTARY PUBLIC				